

Modular development and application of platform QSP models to support a broad R&D portfolio:

Examples from immuno-oncology and respiratory therapeutic areas

Loveleena Bansal Scientific Leader, GSK

Outline

- **Strategy for Developing QSP Models**
- **Modular Development of a QSP Model for Immuno-Oncology**
- **QSP Automation Tools**
- **Application of a QSP Platform Model for COPD Portfolio**

Development of Platform QSP Models

Mechanistic modeling of disease/toxicity pathways at various scales and drug pharmacology to link the effect of target engagement to clinical outcomes

Modeling workflow and application

Rosa Webinar, June 20th 2019 Modular development and application of platform QSP models to support a broad R&D portfolio Loveleena Bansal, GSK

Strategy for Model Development

- **Prioritization of QSP platform models for key GSK disease areas**
- **Modular development to allow re-use of developed models**
	- Built a matrix of disease and modules to help prioritization

Modular Development of a QSP Model for Immuno-Oncology

Modeling done by Roy Song (GSK)

Multi-scale QSP/T model for Immuno-oncology

Model development at the cellular and tissue level

QSP/T IO Model Components

Model framework is built around the Hallmarks of Cancer

- 3 Tissue compartments
- 15 major cell-types with different states and transitions throughout different tissue compartments
- Production of 18 types of cytokines and 10 types of chemokines
- Tracking of 6 types of surface receptors/ligands
- Lends itself to modular development

Cell modules

Each cell module describe the life cycle of one cell-type

- Different cell states (active, mature, differentiated, exhausted)
- Cell processes (proliferation, apoptosis, migration)
- Regulation of cell states and transitions by soluble effectors

Benefits:

- Each cell module can represent an *invitro* experiment, allows easier parameterization
- Easier to make changes as needed in these individual cell modules vs. "full model"

Effector modules

Each effector module describe the production of cytokines and chemokines for each cell-type

Benefits:

- Each effector module can represent an *in-vitro* experiment, allows easier parameterization
- Easier to make changes as needed in these individual effector modules vs. "full model"

Effector production by M1 macrophage

Building the core IO model from cell and effector modules

References

- 1 Soroosh, P., Ine, S., Sugamura, K., and Ishii, N. (2006). OX40-OX40 Ligand Interaction through T Cell-T Cell Contact Contributes to CD4 T Cell Longevity. The Journal of Immunology 176, 5975–5987
- 2 myosarcoma cell line. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 10262–10266. Watanabe, N., Kuriyama, H., Sone, H., Neda, H., Yamauchi, N., Maeda, M., and Niitsu, Y. (1988). Continuous internalization of tumor necrosis factor receptors in a human

3 upon Primary Human T Cell Stimulation, but Only Receptor Ligation Prevents T Cell Activation. The Journal of Immunology 173, 945–954. Chemnitz, J.M., Parry, R.V., Nichols, K.E., June, C.H., and Riley, J.L. (2004). SHP-1 and SHP-2 Associate with Immunoreceptor Tyrosine-Based Switch Motif of Programmed Death 1

- 4 Egen, J.G., and Allison, J.P. (2002). Cytotoxic T Lymphocyte Antigen-4 Accumulation in the Immunological Synapse Is Regulated by TCR Signal Strength. Immunity 16, 23–35.
- 5 lymphocytes. Journal of Experimental Medicine 176, 1595–1604 Linsley, P.S., Greene, J.L., Tan, P., Bradshaw, J., Ledbetter, J.A., Anasetti, C., and Damle, N.K. (1992). Coexpression and functional cooperation of CTLA-4 and CD28 on activated T
- 6 Knieke, K., Hoff, H., Maszyna, F., Kolar, P., Schrage, A., Hamann, A., Debes, G.F., and Brunner-Weinzierl, M.C. (2009). CD152 (CTLA-4) Determines CD4 T Cell Migration In Vitro and In Vivo. PLOS ONE 4, e5702.

Modeling Co-receptor expression dynamics and effect on Tcells

Modeling Tumor Growth and Heterogeneity

Ribba, B., et al (2011). European Journal of Cancer *47*, 479–490.

Mutational rate reflected by antigen production

Immune Cells

- TILs mainly in stroma or in outer tumor region
- Cytokine and chemokine production and co-receptor expression by tumor impacts immune cells migration and function

Altrock, P.M., Liu, L.L., and Michor, F. (2015). Nature Reviews Cancer *15*, 730–745.

Hendry, S., et al. (2017). Advances in Anatomic Pathology *24*, 235–251.

Immune Cells in Tumor Microenvironment

No proliferation or effector production in hypoxic region

Completed On-going

res = analyzeModel(m)

Multiple model checks implemented

Flux checking to see if a species might go negative

Negative flux for cyto.TRX but reaction rate doesn't depend on it

Inconsistencies in reaction and reaction rates $res(2)$. Results

Application of a QSP Platform Model for COPD Portfolio

Modeling done by Cibele V. Falkenberg (GSK)

COPD QSP Platform Overview

- Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary disease (COPD) is caused by long term exposure to irritants, primarily by cigarette smoke
- Complex disease, with coupled processes involving altered immune and tissue cell populations, leading to inflammation, mucus production and tissue destruction.

Model Development Team: GSK Modelers, GSK Respiratory Scientists, CRO (InSysBio)

COPD QSP Platform Overview

Model Development using Cell and Effector Modules

State Variables (all cells, effectors & outputs) ~800 Number of Reactions ~2500 Number of Parameters ~ 3000

Model Components

Cigarette Smoke driven disease progression

In the model, cigarette smoke (CS) is responsible for the transition from healthy to the COPD state.

Model validation

Comparison with Patient Data

Values for cell numbers, cytokines (and chemokines) in plasma and tissue were compared with human data.

- The simulated COPD state was obtained after 40yr exposure to high levels of CS.
- Data in tissue (aw) was calculated using measurements from BAL and sputum.
- Data from publications; number of data points ranging from 2 to 948 for each variable in each publication, mean=35.2;
- When available, variable average was calculated using several publications.

Target A: ROS production and elimination

- The model was updated to account for intracellular production of hydrogen peroxide, and its elimination.
- Target A engagement results in enhancement of the cell's ability to handle hydrogen peroxide.

Question from Program Team: How long does it take for biomarker changes resulting from target A modulation to be measurable?

Target A: QSP Modeling Results

Predicted intensity of response *relative to predicted response after a full year of treatment (preliminary results)*

Modeling supports a shorter duration clinical study (14 vs 30 days) would provide similar results.

Target B: COPD model currently applied for efficacious dose prediction to support candidate selection

To hear more details

– **Roy Song,** "Development of immuno-oncology (IO) quantitative systems pharmacology (QSP) model for evaluation of clinical dose for coreceptor-mediated IO therapies"

SMB Annual Meeting, Montreal, Canada, 22nd-26th July, 2019

– **Cibele Falkenberg,** "Application of a Quantitative Systems Pharmacology (QSP) model of COPD progression for evaluating a novel mechanism targeting oxidative stress and inflammation in the lung"

ISoP Regional QSP Day 2019, Princeton NJ, 16th July 2019

Acknowledgements

• **GSK Modelers**

- Cibele Falkenberg
- Roy Song
- Bob Bondi
- Paul Michalski
- Valeriu Damian
- Herbert Struemper
- **Immuno-Oncology**
- Niranjan Yanamandra
- Heather Jackson
- Paul Bojczuk
- **Respiratory**
- Yolanda Sanchez
- Phil Landis
- James Callahan
- Bill Rumsey
- Heidi Feldser
- **CRO:** InSysBio

Thank you!